Applicant elects the invention of Group I with traverse. The Examiner has

Applicant elects the invention of Group I with unverse. The Extended failed to support her assertion that the subcombination (the invention of Group I) has utility for filtering gas or oil. Moreover, the Examiner has failed to establish that the presence of inventions I to IV in the same application poses an undue burden on examination, since a proper and complete search of one group will necessitate a search of the others.

In addition to the requirement for restriction, the Examiner has indicated that the application contains claims directed to a number of patentably distinct species, and has required that applicants elect a particular species for prosecution on the merits. Applicant elects the invention of species I with traverse. Claims 1-6 and 10-12 are readable on the elected species. Applicant requests that this election be treated according to the provisions of MPEP 803.02.

An early and favorable action on the merits is earnestly requested.

Please charge any fees or credit any overpayment to Deposit Order Account No. 11-0855.

Respectfully submitted,

Bruce D. Gray

Reg. No. 35,779

Date: February 4, 2003

KILPATRICK STOCKTON LLP Suite 2800, 1100 Peachtree Street Atlanta, Georgia 30309-4530 (404) 815-6500

FAX REDEIVED

APR - 8 2003

## GROUP 1700

selecto

Ø 002

The "Received" stamp of the Patent Office imprinted hereon will acknowledge receipt of:

Applicant:	E. Levy	
Application No.	09/772,542	
_Filing Date	January 30, 2001	Docket No.:
PAPERS SUBMIT	TED:	40654/252166
1. Transmittal Form PTO/SB/21		
2. Fee Transmittal Form PTO/SP/17		- OLE
3. Response to Requirement for Restriction		
T FULLON IOF Extension of Time		
5. Check in amount of \$725		FEB 1 0 ZOES
By: Bruce D. Gra	y, Reg. No. 35,779	8
Date: February 4, 2	003	RANFMEN